

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,249	06/05/2002	Kozo Aoki	Q67718	1284
23373	7590 08/05/2002			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.' ON, DC 20037	W.	MORRIS, PATRICIA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	2
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2002)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s) Applicant(s) Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	1. Maris 1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—				
Peri df r Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
from the mailing date of this communication.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Status	·				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disp sition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-20	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
XClaim(s) 4-6 and 10-20	is/are objected to.				
Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Applicati n Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number ★ received in this national stage application from the Inter					
*Certified copies not received:	·				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
□ Notice of Referenc (s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other				
Office A	Action Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,249 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-3, drawn to morpholine compounds.

Group II, claims 1-3 and 7-9, drawn to piperidine compounds.

Claims 4-6 and 10-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Further, claims 12-19 are drawn to nonstatutory subject matter. In the event that applicants amend the claims, they will be grouped accordingly.

Due to the numerous variables R¹, A, etc., and their widely divergent meanings, and the numerous uses of same, a precise listing of inventive groups can not be made. Illustrative of different inventive concepts may be made by reference to the compounds in the Examples of the instant application, of the specification) as for example:

Application/Control Number: 10/019,249 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

the compounds of

I. Example 1

II. Example 2

III. Example 18 etc.,

With the election of a specific exemplified compound, a generic concept, will be identified by the examiner as the inventive group for examination.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. The compounds claimed contain a a benzimidazole group, which does not define a contribution over the prior art. The substituents on the structure vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

In the event of an election of either Group I or II, applicants are required to elect a specific compound.

37 CFR 1.475(b) an international or a national stage application containing claims drawn to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combination of categories:

(1) A product and a process specifically adapted for the manufacture of said product;

or

(2) A product and process of use of said product; or

- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specifically adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.
- (c) If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combination of categories of inventions set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present.
- (d) If multiple products, processes of manufacture, or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories relied thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims.
- (e) The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claim or as alternatives within a single claim.

Because these inventions lack unity of invention for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper

Art Unit: 1625

Applicant may file the divisional subject matter noted in divisional applications. If applicant wishes a generic expression of the elected invention the claims here need be amended to reflect that election.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

This restriction requirement is being written as previous experience has indicated that with Foreign applicants and the inherent time delays, applicants' representative is better able to make an informed, correct, election of the invention applicants would wish to have prosecuted here if applicants are given the opportunity to see the restriction requirement laid out, and given the time to make an informed decision.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Morris whose telephone number is (703) 308-4533.

PATRICIAL MORRIS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 120

plm

August 1, 2002